

Tab 11

Debbie Hammond

From: Stan Crenshaw [scrensh6@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 12:13 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: Comments on Congressional Redistricting

I respectfully submit my recommendation to the Redistricting Subcommittee that the US House Districts be redrawn following the plan submitted by the House of Representatives. Both of the Senate plans represent an unacceptable division of Spartanburg County, the fourth most populous county in the state. The House version, while also dividing Spartanburg County, has the least impact. Any additional proposals presented should consider maintaining both Greenville County and Spartanburg County intact and in the separate 4th and 5th Districts respectively.

I thank the Subcommittee for this opportunity for comment and ask for your serious consideration of my concerns regarding minimizing the division of Spartanburg county across two districts.

Stan Crenshaw
131 Coldstream Dr
Boiling Springs, SC 29316-5101

Republican Precinct Committeeman
Chapman Elementary Precinct
SC Senate District 11

Day: 864-599-3206
Evening: 864-578-6629
email: scrensh6@bellsouth.net

Debbie Hammond

From: tom@gemsbokllc.com
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 10:00 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: 7th Congressional District

Senate Judiciary Committee,

I'm writing you in support of the SC House version of the redistricting plan and in opposition to the plans that have come out of the SC Senate.

The Grand Strand and the Pee Dee Region have a much more common bond than the Grand Strand does with Charleston. We are tied together much more culturally and economically and have historically been underrepresented in Washington, DC. In addition this region showed the most growth in the 2010 Census. As members of the NESR region we have been working together for economic development and it only makes logical sense to have the 7th Congressional District match what is already a functioning collective of counties.

As a business owner in the Grand Strand I am respectfully asking that you support the House version of redistricting and give this area the representation it has so long been without, but which it surely deserves.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tom Raub
President/Founder
Gemsbok, LLC
Myrtle Beach, SC

Debbie Hammond

From: Johnny Fryar [johnnyfryar@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 9:07 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: New District 7
Attachments: Facts about Charleston and MB.docx

Dear Mrs. Hammond,

I have researched The Richmond Fed, the US Bureau of Statistics, and the Myrtle Beach and Charleston Chamber of Commences to compile this information and come to my conclusion. The Myrtle Beach Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) is amongst the leaders in the State for GDP per capita without any major infrastructure. The new district should include all of the I-73 corridor counties, because of the shared infrastructure/shared benefit. Charleston has: 2 Interstates, 1 Interstate Bypass, 4 US Highways, 5 State Highways while Myrtle Beach has: 2 US Highways, 1 US Bypass, and 1 State Bypass. My question to the panel is what would Charleston be without 2 interstates? What would Myrtle Beach be with 1? What would Charleston be without the port? What could Georgetown be with a better port? Charleston has 2 trains while Myrtle Beach doesn't have any. There are two choices, but only one choice. Who gets the resources? Where is our infrastructure (North Coast)? Give us a vote! Let us in the fight!

The Houses version of District 7 would give Myrtle Beach and Georgetown an independent voice from Charleston (no more leftovers), and would combine all of the counties in the I-73 corridor. We are currently one of the strongest producers of this States GDP without an interstate, a major port, trains, or military bases. Do we want economic growth for the State? Let's give the resources where they will yield the best results. Let us in the fight!

The essence of capitalism is true competition amongst rivals to create a market price that is both beneficial to the seller and acceptable to the buyer. Socialism believes that this process is too dangerous and encourages the rich to take advantage of the poor. Their solution is government control of assets so that they can distribute resources as they deem appropriate.

Our request is to let the proposed House District 7 compete for the resources that would provide us the infrastructure to be competitive in the future. Let us in the fight for these resources rather than accept our dole from our representatives in the more populous Southern part of the coast.

I've attached a copy of the raw data that I have compiled.



Johnny Fryar, Jr.

Parker Hunter Skipper CPA LLC
mobile: 843.457.8228 office: 843.448.4221



Facts About Charleston and MB

Comparison Data

Richmond Fed

Per-Capita GDP 2001

Charleston-North Charleston-Summerville, SC (MSA)	2001	33308
Myrtle Beach-North Myrtle Beach-Conway, SC (MSA)	2001	33087

Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysis

<i>Table 1:</i>	2001	2008	Growth
per capita GDP			
Anderson, SC (MSA)	\$22,931	\$22,112	-3.57%
Charleston-North Charleston-Summerville, SC (MSA)	\$30,740	\$33,352	8.50%
Columbia, SC (MSA)	\$33,178	\$33,942	2.30%
Florence, SC (MSA)	\$28,157	\$28,118	-0.14%
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC (MSA)	\$35,535	\$33,983	-4.37%
Myrtle Beach-North Myrtle Beach-Conway, SC (MSA)	\$31,620	\$30,390	-3.89%
Sumter, SC (MSA)	\$21,790	\$22,969	5.41%

South Carolina as a Whole

Excellent Market Access and Infrastructure

South Carolina offers companies both exceptional infrastructure and geographical location. The state is located halfway between New York and Miami, and only a day's drive to 50 percent of the U.S.'s fastest growing markets.

South Carolina also boasts five interstates and nine commercial airports. And the state has an excellent railroad transportation system. CSX Transportation, Norfolk Southern and seven other affiliated and independent railroad companies provide availability for around-the-clock service.



The 2007 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report states that, although manufacturing still plays a big role in the State's economy, South Carolina's economic base has become more diversified including growth in leisure and hospitality, retail trade and service. Employment in the State's manufacturing sector has been declining and now represents only about 14%, a decrease from 16% over the past five years.

Non-durable goods manufacturing, primarily related to the textile and apparel industry, has seen the greatest decline over the past five years. Offsetting these declines was growth in the manufacturing of other goods, such as the production of transportation equipment, fabricated metals, and chemicals.

The strongest employment gains have been in the State's service sector which has risen from 34% of total employment to 37% during the past five years. This sector, fueled by tourism, educational, and healthcare industries has contributed to a more diversified overall employment base and improved income levels.

Myrtle Beach's Economy

The Grand Strand has become a major tourist attraction along the Southeastern coast, with its primary city, Myrtle Beach, attracting over ten million visitors each season.

Myrtle Beach has rapidly developed into a major tourist destination in the Southeastern United States in the late 20th century and 2000s.^[citation needed] The estimated 2009 population for the city is 31,968.^[2] As of 2009, the metro area had an estimated population of 324,571.^[4] According to the 2000 census, the area was the 13th fastest-growing metropolitan area in the United States.^[5]

Hosting over 14.6 million visitors annually

1,900 restaurants

460 hotels,

Priceline.com ranked Myrtle Beach among its top 20 destinations for Fourth of July in 2010

The Myrtle Beach Boardwalk opened in 2010 and has been recognized as the nation's #3 boardwalk by National Geographic^[22] and one of the best US boardwalks by Travel + Leisure magazine.^[23]

Myrtle Beach has been called the "Golf Capital of the World"^[18] because of the 120 golf courses located there, the record 4.2 million rounds played, and many miniature golf courses. 3.7 million total rounds of golf were played in 2007.^[21]

Roads

- 17 US 17
- 501 US 501
- 31 SC 31
- 17 US 17 Bus.

I-73 Corridor



South Carolina Senate

28th - Dick Elliot

29th - Gerald Malloy

30th - Kent Williams

32nd - Yancey McGill

33rd - Luke Rankin

34th - Ray Cleary

South Carolina House of Representatives

54th - Elizabeth Munnerlyn

55th - Jackie Hayes

57th - James Battle, Jr.

58th - Listen Barfield

59th - Terry Alexander

68th - Thad Viere

104th - Tracy Eder

105th - George Hearn

106th - Nelson Hardwick

107th - Alan Clemmons

South Carolina Counties in I-73 Corridor

Dillon

Horry

Marion

Marlboro

Charleston's Economy

Economic sectors and major employers

Charleston is a major tourist destination, with a considerable number of luxury hotels, hotel chains, inns, and bed and breakfasts and a large number of award-winning restaurants and quality shopping. The city has two shipping terminals, owned and operated by the South Carolina Ports Authority, which are part of the fourth largest container seaport on the East Coast and the eighth largest container seaport in North America in 2009.^[32] Charleston is becoming a prime location for information technology jobs and corporations, most notably Blackbaud, Modulant, CSS and Benefitfocus. Higher education is also an important sector in the local economy, with institutions such as the Medical University of South Carolina, College of Charleston, The Citadel, The Military College of South Carolina, and Charleston School of Law.

Charleston is also an important art destination, named a top 25 arts destination by AmericanStyle magazine.^[33]

Major highways

-  U.S. Route 17
-  U.S. Route 52
-  Spur
-  U.S. Route 78
-  Interstate 26 (Eastern Terminus is in Charleston)
-  I-526
-  Business Spur 526
-  SC 7 - Sam Rittenberg Boulevard
-  SC 30 - James Island Expressway
-  SC 61 - St. Andrews Boulevard/Ashley River Road
-  SC 171 - Old Towne Road/Folly Road
-  SC 700 - Maybank Highway

Charleston's Port

One of South Carolina's most attractive features for manufacturers is the Port of Charleston. The port is the seventh largest container port in the U.S. and has been ranked first in customer satisfaction by *World Trade* magazine. With deep water and high bridges, the Port of Charleston is able to serve ships of up to 8,000TEU with only a 20-minute turnaround time for truckers. The port also boasts transit times of one to two hours, from open sea to dockside – the fastest on the East Coast.

South Carolina offers seamless connectivity amongst its various ports, railways, highways and airports. Each mode of transportation contributes to a vast network that can keep a business moving its products or raw materials smoothly. The state's extraordinary market access and quality infrastructure have led to an increase in distribution and logistics

operations. Today, there are approximately 260 distribution and logistics operations in South Carolina. Of those operations, at least 30 percent also operate through the Port of Charleston

Port

The Port of Charleston, owned and operated by the South Carolina Ports Authority, consists of five terminals. Two are in Charleston, on the Harbor. Two are on the Cooper River in the City of North Charleston and one is located on the Wando River in the Town of Mt. Pleasant. Despite occasional labor disputes, the port is ranked number one in customer satisfaction across North America by supply chain executives.^[38] Port activity, behind tourism, is one of the leading source of Charleston's revenue. Union Pier also includes a cruise ship passenger terminal and hosts numerous cruise departures annually. In May 2010, the Carnival Fantasy was permanently stationed in Charleston, offering weekly cruises to the Bahamas and Key West, eventually to include Bermuda.^[39] Celebrity Mercury also embarks from Charleston several times a year. With the addition of the weekly Carnival Fantasy sailings, Union Terminal will host 67 embarkations and ports of call in 2010.^[40]

Terminals

- Columbus Street Terminal
- Union Pier Terminal - Soon to be transformed for cruise ship use only.

Rail transport

The Amtrak station is served by two Amtrak trains, the Palmetto and the Silver Meteor, operating between New York and Savannah, Georgia and Miami, Florida, respectively.^[41]

Armed forces

Coast Guard

- Coast Guard Sector Charleston
- Coast Guard Station Charleston

Army

South Carolina Army National Guard

South Carolina State Guard 3BDE HHC (in Mount Pleasant) 5th/6th BN in North Charleston

ARGUMENT

The MB MSA is amongst the leader in the State for GDP per capita without any major infrastructure.

The new district should include all of the I-73 corridor counties = shared benefit/major infrastructure.

Charleston has: 2 Interstates, 1 Interstate Bypass, 4 US Highways, 5 State Highways
MB has: 2 US Highways, 1 US Bypass, and 1 State Bypass

What would Charleston be without 2 interstates / What would Myrtle Beach be with 1?

What would Charleston be without the port / What would Georgetown be with a better port?

Charleston has 2 trains / MB 0.

TWO CHOICES ONE CHOICE – Who gets the resources? Where is our infrastructure? Give us a vote! Let us in the fight!

CONCLUSION

The Houses version of District 7 would give MB and G-town an independent voice from Charleston (no more leftovers), and would combine all of the counties in the I-73 corridor.

We are currently one of the strongest producers of this States GDP without an interstate, a major port, trains, or military bases. Do we want economic growth for the State? Let's give the resources where they will yield the best results. Let us in the fight!

The essence of capitalism is true competition amongst rivals to create a market price that is both beneficial to the seller and acceptable to the buyer. Socialism believes that this process is too dangerous and encourages the rich to take advantage of the poor. Their solution is government control of assets so that they can distribute resources as they deem appropriate.

Our request is to let the proposed House District 7 compete for the resources that would provide us the infrastructure to be competitive in the future. Let us in the fight for these resources rather than accept our dole from our representatives in the more populous Southern part of the coast.

Debbie Hammond

From: Jonbetty404@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 12:29 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Cc: bellamyjm94@aol.com; geekgirl2u@gmail.com
Subject: The South Strand Republican Club supports Rep Clemmons

Ms Debbie Hammond, Sec. to the Senate Judiciary Committee..

Please advise the Chairman and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee that the Board of Directors and members of the South Strand Republican Club, wishes to go on record as supporting the new 7th US Congressional district line as drafted by Rep Alan Clemmons. From what can be discerned by the Clemmons plan, Horry County will completely fall within the jurisdictional lines of the new 7th Congressional area. As Horry County continues to exponentially grow with a population explosion, it is incumbent upon the Senate Judiciary Committee to note this growth and consent to the people and residents such representation by a congress person. Again, the most jurisdictional sound and fairest method to set the district in the best legal position taking in the population growth plus constituency service would be the Clemmons proposal.

Thank you....

Charles Ottwell President SSRC

John e Bonsignor, Member of the Board of Directors SSRC

Debbie Hammond

From: elg-1@earthlink.net
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 10:45 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: 7th Congressional District

I would like to request the State Senate accept the House vote to provide Horry County and surrounding Counties as the new 7th District.

Thank You.

Eugene Geraldo

809 Duncan Ave.

Myrtle Beach, SC 29572

elg-1@earthlink.net

EarthLink Revolves Around You.

Debbie Hammond

From: Jerykimball [jerykimball@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 5:09 PM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: Redistricting

We are in favor of the House plan for redistricting. The Senate plan continues to look out for the same areas with no consideration for the growth in Horry County. VOTE YES FOR THE HOUSE PLAN.

Jerry and Barbara Kimball
Murrells Inlet, SC

Debbie Hammond

From: Michael Patten [mpatten@sccoast.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2011 4:07 PM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: New District #7

Dear Debbie,

I have gone to several of the re-districting meetings held by Rep. Clemons over the past several months. There is one and ONLY one sane conclusion: The house plan that includes Horry, Georgetown and the Pee Dee Region makes the most sense. I have lived in Murrells Inlet for 4+ years and even with housing coming to a virtual halt, this plan makes the most sense. Our needs are totally separate from the Charleston area. We also send too much money to Columbia not to have our own Representative especially since Tim Scott is from the Charleston area.. One factor to be considered is all of Charlestons' Tv & Radio markets do not apply to us nor do they reach us.

Thank you,

Michael Patten

Debbie Hammond

From: Jean [vjhampton4@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2011 7:25 PM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: Redistricting Bill

I live in Myrtle Beach in Horry County and urge you to make all of Horry and the Pee Dee counties into a new District 7. The House voted to approve this and I urge you and the Senate to follow suit! Thank you, Jean Hampton, Myrtle Beach, SC.

June 20, 2011

Debbie Hammond
Senate Judiciary Committee Administrative Manager
Sub-Committee on Redistricting
Post Office Box 142
Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Dear Debbie,

The South Carolina Senate's two proposals for the new Congressional District plans are unacceptable to the people of Horry County Grand Strand and the Pee Dee region. The citizens of these areas do not want to be stifled by the Charleston stranglehold on our business opportunities. The Grand Strand and Pee Dee regions need separate representation for growth.

The Grand Strand and Pee Dee regions are the fastest growing areas of our state. This growth is going to continue as the national economy recovers and retirees move into our part of the state. The South Carolina House of Representatives have put together a plan that offers every area of the state economic growth potential, as well as planning for the future population growth.

In my opinion, neither of the Senate plans addresses these and other issues facing the northeastern part of our state. In fact, there is no recognition of the fact that the Grand Strand and Pee Dee are going to be the economic engine that drives the future of South Carolina.

To be that economic engine, we need I73. To improve Horry County wages to a living wage requires diversifying our business base to include light manufacturing jobs, high tech jobs, distribution jobs, transportation jobs and much more. The only way we can do that is to have a major highway such as I73 come to the Pee Dee and Grand Strand. To get the highway, we have been relying on a few people in significant leadership positions who also have other priorities in other parts of the state. It is now time that we need focused attention of a Congressional Representative on this area of our state.

Currently, in the First Congressional District, all of the focus has been on the Charleston area. For example, the amount of effort spent on I526 extension was a waste of the taxpayer's money and time. That effort would have helped only very a small segment of the state. I73 will provide a much greater impact for the state, improving business climate infrastructure in the Pee Dee and Grand Strand for new opportunities.

Without a major road such as I73, the Pee Dee and Grand Strand have very little hope of changing our economic outlook. The Senate plans will relegate the Pee Dee and Grand Strand areas to continued lost opportunities and low wages. Most businesses looking to relocate to the Grand Strand and Pee Dee regions want access to major highways and the other infrastructure that brings before they will move to this area.

If the Grand Strand and Pee Dee remain in the First Congressional District as portrayed by the two Senate proposals, then the South Carolina Senate is not looking out for the best interests or potential growth opportunities of our state.

The House plan (H3992), the Mulvaney-Wilson and the Clyburn 2 plans are much better congressional plans than either of the Senate's plans. These plans follow more of the natural boundaries of counties and groups together parts of the state with similar economic directions. The best of these is the House plan.

Each member of the South Carolina Senate has an obligation to look out for the best interests of the citizens of the state. Not coming to a reasonable agreement on the new Congressional District and allowing the United States Department of Justice draw our districts is an abdication of your responsibility. We urge you to draw the new Congressional District where it serves the state best. The best area for the new district is in the northeast corner of our state.

Respectfully,

Bren

Bren Gibson
511 1st Ave S
North Myrtle Beach, SC 29582
(843) 427-4176

Debbie Hammond

From: Stan Monroe [jmonroe6@sc.rr.com]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 11:02 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: New 7th District

Good morning,

I want the new 7th District to be a Pee-Dee and Horry county district as proposed by Representative Alan Clemmons. The Grand Strand and Horry County has distinctly different needs than those in Charleston and surrounding areas.

Thanks,

James S. Monroe
907 27th Avenue South
North Myrtle Beach, SC 29582
(843) 267-3534

Debbie Hammond

From: Larry Richardson [lrichardson8@sc.rr.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 6:21 AM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: Public input at the joint committee meeting

Ms. Hammond,

I would like the following paragraph to be added to the record, and if at all possible, I would like the opportunity to deliver it in person (speaking) to the committee.

Thank you

Larry Richardson
303 Tapscott St
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579

843-957-8180

Here is my statement:

Here are my observations as a voter. First, I hoped that when the leadership of the House formed the House Committee to develop the House Plan that they took the responsibility seriously, and appointed members and a chairman that would do a good job. Second, I see from the current situation, that the leadership of the House either did not take their appointment of the committee seriously, or something went drastically wrong on the committee. Third, I followed the actions of the committee, and even attended and had input at one of the meetings, so I saw firsthand that the members were truly interested in hearing from the voters. Fourth, the late timing on the leadership's decision to scrap the committee plan and re-do things is obviously a political game. I do not pretend to be able to know the exact motivation behind the game, but by looking at the absurd boundaries of the revised plan (see the sliver of gerrymandering in the northeastern part of the state) it is clear to me that the revised plan is based on anything but diligent effort to make the new district fit the new circumstances. Fifth, I would like to remind all members who will be voting on which plan goes forward that the Constitution of South Carolina requires that all elected legislators and other high officials all affirm their belief in God. And last, but not least, I would like to remind you all that God operates with a higher standard of laws and principals than even the Constitution; and one of those laws is, "Do not be deceived, God is not mocked, for whatever a man sows, that he will also reap." [Gal 6:8, NKJV]

Debbie Hammond

From: Daniel Cochran [dcochran@coastal.edu]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 2:55 PM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: Senate/House Redistricting Plans

To whom it may concern,

My family and I do NOT support the senate version of district plan.

We support the House plan which give Horry, Florence, Marion, Marlboro, Dillion and Georgetown the new (old Pee Dee) seventh district.

For far too long Horry and Georgetown have had to play second fiddle to the whims and wishes of Charleston. The House plan with the new seventh district in the Pee Dee area allows our voice to be heard going forward.

Sincerely,

Daniel S. Cochran
Systems Support Technician
Coastal Carolina University
x2381



Debbie Hammond

From: ray-gena@roadrunner.com
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 1:24 PM
To: Debbie Hammond
Subject: new district


The Pee Dee and the Grand Strand area should be in the same district. The House Plan is the way to go.

--

Colon Ray Easterling and Virginia Easterling

Love is what the World is all about!

H. 3992 as Adopted June 15, 2011



House Congressional Plan H.3992
District Statistics

Plan:
Plan Type:

Administrator:
User:

District Statistics Report

Friday, June 17, 2011

4:53 PM

District 1

Population Statistics

Ideal Population: 660,766
Actual Population: 660,768

Absolute Deviation: 2
Relative Deviation: 0.00 %

Total Population

NH_Whit	NH_Doj_Blk	NH_Doj_Ind	NH_Doj_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_Doj_Blk	%NH_Doj_Ind	%NH_Doj_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
461,135	134,194	4,198	13,610	43,222	69.79	20.31	0.64	2.06	6.54
NH_Whit	NH_Doj_Blk	NH_Doj_Ind	NH_Doj_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_Doj_Blk	%NH_Doj_Ind	%NH_Doj_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
370,997	94,711	3,207	10,019	28,566	72.72	18.56	0.63	1.96	5.60

District 1 Counties (* indicates the county is not entirely within the district.)

Beaufort SC

Berkeley SC*

Durchester SC*

Charleston SC*

Colleton SC*

District 1

Population Statistics

Ideal Population: 660,766
Actual Population: 660,766

Absolute Deviation: 0
Relative Deviation: 0.00 %

Plan: House_Cong_H3992 Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 Type: Congressional User:

Total Population

	NH_Whit	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
450,818	154,650	4,609	12,336	34,355	68.23	23.40	0.70	1.87	5.20	
[18+_Pop]										
	NH_Whit	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
355,521	107,593	3,488	9,036	22,857	70.98	21.48	0.70	1.80	4.56	

District 2 Counties (* indicates the county is not entirely within the district.)

Aiken SC	
Richland SC*	Barnwell SC
	Lexington SC
	Orangeburg SC*

Population Statistics

Ideal Population: 660,766 Absolute Deviation: -3
 Actual Population: 660,763 Relative Deviation: 0.00 %

Total Population

	NH_Whit	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
497,322	124,166	3,172	5,978	28,054	75.26	18.79	0.48	0.90	4.25	
[18+_Pop]										
	NH_Whit	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whit	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
395,275	89,746	2,521	4,460	17,947	77.33	17.56	0.49	0.87	3.51	

District 3 Counties (* indicates the county is not entirely within the district.)

Abbeville SC	Anderson SC
Greenwood SC	Edgefield SC
Oconee SC	McCormick SC
	Pickens SC
	Saluda SC

Plan: House_Cong_H3992
 Type: Congressional

Administrator:
 User:

SC Senate Judiciary

District 4 (cont.)
Population Statistics

Ideal Population:	660,766	Absolute Deviation:		0	Relative Deviation:		0.00 %			
Actual Population:	660,766									
Total Population										
NH_Whi		NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whi	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
460,109	130,732	2,869	15,425	48,520	69.63	19.78	0.43	2.33	7.34	
[18+ Pop]										
NH_Whi		NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whi	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
361,776	93,076	2,200	10,745	31,140	72.25	18.59	0.44	2.15	6.22	

District 4 Counties (* indicates the county is not entirely within the district.)
 Greenville SC* Spartanburg SC*

Districts
Population Statistics

Ideal Population:	660,766	Absolute Deviation:		3	Relative Deviation:		0.00 %			
Actual Population:	660,769									
Total Population										
NH_Whi		NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whi	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
436,586	183,858	5,069	7,477	25,057	66.07	27.82	0.77	1.13	3.79	
[18+ Pop]										
NH_Whi		NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whi	%NH_DOJ_Blk	%NH_DOJ_Ind	%NH_DOJ_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
340,722	132,016	3,605	5,203	15,507	68.34	26.48	0.72	1.04	3.11	

Plan: House Cong_H3992
 Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:



[18+ Pop]

NH_Whi	NH_Doj_Blk	NH_Doj_Ind	NH_Doj_Asn	[Hispanic Origin]	%NH_Whi	%NH_Doj_Blk	%NH_Doj_Ind	%NH_Doj_Asn	% [Hispanic Origin]
342,606	141,487	4,601	4,514	17,570	66.83	27.60	0.90	0.88	3.43

District 7 Counties (* indicates the county is not entirely within the district.)

Chesterfield SC
 Georgetown SC

Darlington SC
 Horry SC

Dillon SC
 Marion SC

Florence SC*
 Marlboro SC

Plan Name: House Congressional Plan H.3992
 Plan Type: Plan Components

Administrator:

Plan Components with Population Detail Report

Friday, June 17, 2011

4:52 PM

	Total Population	NH_Whit	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	NH_DOJ_Oth	Hispanic Origin
District 1							
County : Beaufort SC							
Total:	162,233	107,279	31,548	695	2,249	248	19,567
Voting Age:	127,885	66.13%	19.45%	0.43%	1.39%	0.15%	12.06%
		90,057	22,199	551	1,643	111	12,942
		70.42%	17.36%	0.43%	1.28%	0.09%	10.12%
County : Berkeley SC Subtotal							
Total:	148,145	97,887	32,107	1,407	4,855	660	10,338
Voting Age:	110,187	66.08%	21.67%	0.95%	3.28%	0.45%	6.98%
		75,744	21,919	1,070	3,661	467	6,807
		68.74%	19.89%	0.97%	3.32%	0.42%	6.18%
County : Charleston SC Subtotal							
Total:	241,820	178,775	48,036	1,164	4,166	395	8,570
Voting Age:	193,437	73.93%	19.86%	0.48%	1.72%	0.16%	3.54%
		146,914	35,791	917	3,134	281	5,924
		75.95%	18.50%	0.47%	1.62%	0.15%	3.06%
County : Colleton SC Subtotal							
Total:	627	592	30	3	0	0	2
Voting Age:	594	94.42%	4.78%	0.48%	0.00%	0.00%	0.32%
		564	25	3	0	0	2
		94.95%	4.21%	0.51%	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>NH WHI</u>	<u>NH DOJ BIK</u>	<u>NH DOJ Ind</u>	<u>NH DOJ Ash</u>	<u>NH DOJ Oth</u>	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>
District 1 (continued)							
County : Dorchester SC Subtotal							
Total:	107,943	76,602	22,473	929	2,340	186	4,745
Voting Age:	78,086	70.97%	20.82%	0.86%	2.17%	0.17%	4.40%
		57,718	14,777	666	1,581	85	2,891
		73.92%	18.92%	0.85%	2.02%	0.11%	3.70%

District 1 Subtotal							
Total:	660,768	461,135	134,194	4,198	13,610	1,489	43,222
Voting Age:	510,189	69.79%	20.31%	0.64%	2.06%	0.23%	6.54%
		370,997	94,711	3,207	10,019	944	28,566
		72.72%	18.56%	0.63%	1.96%	0.19%	5.60%

District 2							
County : Aiken SC							
Total:	160,099	108,566	40,057	1,241	1,649	235	7,824
Voting Age:	123,271	67.81%	25.02%	0.78%	1.03%	0.15%	4.89%
		87,080	28,526	970	1,226	111	5,002
		70.64%	23.14%	0.79%	0.99%	0.09%	4.06%

County : Barnwell SC							
Total:	22,621	11,715	10,087	168	146	27	411
Voting Age:	16,823	51.79%	44.59%	0.74%	0.65%	0.12%	1.82%
		9,187	7,068	123	102	10	292
		54.61%	42.01%	0.73%	0.61%	0.06%	1.74%

County : Lexington SC							
Total:	262,391	201,946	38,451	1,956	4,258	402	14,529
Voting Age:	198,229	76.96%	14.65%	0.75%	1.62%	0.15%	5.54%
		157,649	25,970	1,423	3,070	205	9,389
		79.53%	13.10%	0.72%	1.55%	0.10%	4.74%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	Total Population	NH	Whit	NH DOJ BIK	NH DOJ Ind	NH DOJ Ash	NH DOJ Oth	Hispanic Origin
--	------------------	----	------	------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------------

District 2 (contigued)

County : Orangeburg SC Subtotal

Total:	20,438	11,076	54.19%	8,647	274	59	10	330
Voting Age:	15,326	8,670	56.57%	6,166	217	42	6	201
				40.23%	1.42%	0.27%	0.04%	1.31%

County : Richland SC Subtotal

Total:	195,217	117,515	60.20%	57,408	970	6,224	398	11,261
Voting Age:	147,251	92,935	63.11%	39,863	755	3,199	200	5,779
				27.07%	0.51%	3.12%	0.14%	5.41%

District 2 Subtotal

Total:	660,766	450,818	68.23%	154,650	4,609	12,336	1,072	34,355
Voting Age:	500,900	355,521	70.98%	107,593	3,488	9,036	532	22,857
				21.48%	0.70%	1.80%	0.11%	4.56%

District 3

County : Abbeville SC

Total:	25,417	17,564	69.10%	7,292	128	107	29	255
Voting Age:	19,630	13,804	70.32%	5,441	104	67	14	164
				27.72%	0.53%	0.34%	0.07%	0.84%

County : Anderson SC

Total:	187,126	147,362	78.75%	31,080	970	1,646	243	5,447
Voting Age:	142,301	115,006	80.82%	21,601	759	888	85	2,916
				15.18%	0.53%	0.80%	0.06%	3.465
								2.43%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

District 3 (continued)
 County : Edgefield SC

	Total Population	NH Wht	NH DOJ Blk	NH DOJ Ind	NH DOJ Asp	NH DOJ Oth	Hispanic Origin
Total:	26,985	15,196	10,045	122	107	21	1,414
Voting Age:	21,214	56.31%	37.22%	0.45%	0.40%	0.08%	5.24%
		12,045	7,731	96	83	14	1,177
		56.78%	36.44%	0.45%	0.39%	0.07%	5.55%

County : Greenville SC Subtotal

Total:	54,951	39,804	10,289	264	453	84	3,922
Voting Age:	41,135	72.44%	18.72%	0.48%	0.82%	0.15%	7.14%
		30,870	7,132	195	310	35	2,513
		75.05%	17.34%	0.47%	0.75%	0.09%	6.11%

County : Greenwood SC

Total:	69,661	42,709	22,010	254	657	93	3,789
Voting Age:	53,154	61.31%	31.60%	0.36%	0.94%	0.13%	5.44%
		34,148	15,892	192	471	51	2,299
		64.24%	29.90%	0.36%	0.89%	0.10%	4.33%

County : Laurens SC

Total:	66,537	45,900	17,192	291	246	60	2,729
Voting Age:	51,110	68.98%	25.84%	0.44%	0.37%	0.09%	4.10%
		36,449	12,527	247	171	26	1,604
		71.31%	24.51%	0.48%	0.33%	0.05%	3.14%

County : McCormick SC

Total:	10,233	4,941	5,109	28	37	12	81
Voting Age:	8,751	48.28%	49.93%	0.27%	0.36%	0.12%	0.79%
		4,511	4,095	23	33	3	68
		51.55%	46.79%	0.26%	0.38%	0.03%	0.78%

County : Newberry SC Subtotal

Total:	6,481	4,533	1,431	16	19	9	468
Voting Age:	5,073	69.94%	22.08%	0.25%	0.29%	0.14%	7.22%
		3,669	1,080	15	17	3	284
		72.32%	21.29%	0.30%	0.34%	0.06%	5.60%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary

Usr:

	Total Population	NH	Whi	NH DOJ Blk	NH DOJ Ind	NH DOJ Asp	NH DOJ Oth	Hispanic Origin
District 3 (continued)								
County : Oconee SC								
Total:	74,273	63,807	85.91%	6,015	8.10%	515	55	3,349
Voting Age:	58,566	51,567	88.05%	4,191	7.16%	359	30	2,009
					0.55%	0.61%	0.05%	3.43%
County : Pickens SC								
Total:	119,224	103,958	87.20%	8,414	7.06%	2,146	152	3,743
Voting Age:	94,937	83,809	88.28%	6,213	6.54%	1,779	71	2,438
					0.54%	1.87%	0.07%	2.57%
County : Saluda SC								
Total:	19,875	11,548	58.10%	5,289	26.61%	45	14	2,857
Voting Age:	15,294	9,397	61.44%	3,843	25.13%	27	7	1,926
					0.39%	0.18%	0.05%	12.59%
District 3 Subtotal								
Total:	660,763	497,322	75.26%	124,166	18.79%	5,978	772	28,054
Voting Age:	511,165	395,275	77.33%	89,746	17.56%	4,460	339	17,947
					0.49%	0.87%	0.07%	3.51%
District 4								
County : Greenville SC Subtotal								
Total:	396,274	277,393	70.00%	73,037	18.43%	9,566	774	32,573
Voting Age:	300,773	218,388	72.61%	51,721	17.20%	6,736	395	21,424
					0.43%	2.24%	0.13%	7.12%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

SC Senate Judiciary

Administrator:
 User:

	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>NH Wht</u>	<u>NH DOJ Bk</u>	<u>NH DOJ Ind</u>	<u>NH DOJ Asp</u>	<u>NH DOJ Oth</u>	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>
District 4 (continued)							
County : Spartanburg SC Subtotal							
Total:	264,492	182,716	57,695	1,166	5,859	367	15,947
Voting Age:	199,954	69.08%	21.81%	0.44%	2.22%	0.14%	6.03%
		143,388	41,355	901	4,009	166	9,716
		71.71%	20.68%	0.45%	2.00%	0.08%	4.86%
District 4 Subtotal							
Total:	660,766	460,109	130,732	2,869	15,425	1,141	48,520
Voting Age:	500,727	69.63%	19.78%	0.43%	2.33%	0.17%	7.34%
		361,776	93,076	2,200	10,745	561	31,140
		72.25%	18.59%	0.44%	2.15%	0.11%	6.22%
District 5							
County : Cherokee SC							
Total:	55,342	40,939	11,570	316	347	70	2,032
Voting Age:	41,688	73.97%	20.91%	0.57%	0.63%	0.13%	3.67%
		31,868	8,027	243	252	37	1,217
		76.44%	19.25%	0.58%	0.60%	0.09%	2.92%
County : Chester SC							
Total:	33,140	19,590	12,564	244	129	47	479
Voting Age:	25,212	59.11%	37.91%	0.74%	0.39%	0.14%	1.45%
		15,626	8,980	173	88	12	283
		61.98%	35.62%	0.69%	0.35%	0.05%	1.12%
County : Fairfield SC							
Total:	23,956	9,098	14,236	88	73	31	374
Voting Age:	18,525	37.98%	59.43%	0.37%	0.30%	0.13%	1.56%
		7,666	10,436	68	52	3	256
		41.38%	56.33%	0.37%	0.28%	0.02%	1.38%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

District 5 (continued)
 County : Kershaw SC

	Total Population	NH_Whi	NH_DOJ_Blk	NH_DOJ_Ind	NH_DOJ_Asn	NH_DOJ_Oth	Hispanic Origin
Total:	61,697	43,009	15,429	344	399	65	2,298
Voting Age:	46,558	69.71%	25.01%	0.56%	0.65%	0.11%	3.72%
		33,284	11,179	281	273	20	1,430
		71.49%	24.01%	0.60%	0.59%	0.04%	3.07%

County : Lancaster SC

Total:	76,652	53,490	18,541	386	573	139	3,384
Voting Age:	58,821	69.78%	24.19%	0.50%	0.75%	0.18%	4.41%
		42,451	13,446	293	400	66	2,082
		72.17%	22.86%	0.50%	0.68%	0.11%	3.54%

County : Lee SC

Total:	19,220	6,322	12,356	62	68	9	334
Voting Age:	14,957	32.89%	64.29%	0.32%	0.35%	0.05%	1.74%
		5,316	9,244	53	52	5	229
		35.54%	61.80%	0.35%	0.35%	0.03%	1.53%

County : Newberry SC Subtotal

Total:	31,027	18,157	10,313	138	111	28	2,222
Voting Age:	23,891	58.52%	33.24%	0.44%	0.36%	0.09%	7.16%
		14,767	7,531	111	91	17	1,328
		61.81%	31.52%	0.46%	0.38%	0.07%	5.56%

County : Spartanburg SC Subtotal

Total:	19,815	16,468	2,116	131	348	19	711
Voting Age:	14,903	83.11%	10.68%	0.66%	1.76%	0.10%	3.59%
		12,630	1,530	104	215	7	407
		84.75%	10.27%	0.70%	1.44%	0.05%	2.73%

County : Sumter SC Subtotal

Total:	84,886	45,996	33,458	572	1,426	140	2,866
Voting Age:	63,349	54.19%	39.42%	0.67%	1.68%	0.16%	3.38%
		35,659	24,069	419	1,073	70	1,813
		56.29%	37.99%	0.66%	1.69%	0.11%	2.86%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

District 5 (continued)
 County : Union SC

	Total Population	NH	Whi	NH	DOJ	Blk	NH	DOJ	Ind	NH	DOJ	Asn	NH	DOJ	Oth	Hispanic	Origin
Total:	28,961	19,146	66.11%	9,223	31.85%	129	0.45%	91	0.31%	26	0.09%	282	0.97%				
Voting Age:	22,361	15,258	68.23%	6,726	30.08%	93	0.42%	68	0.30%	5	0.02%	169	0.76%				
County : York SC																	
Total:	226,073	164,371	72.71%	44,052	19.49%	2,659	1.18%	3,912	1.73%	302	0.13%	10,075	4.46%				
Voting Age:	168,329	126,197	74.97%	30,848	18.33%	1,767	1.05%	2,639	1.57%	147	0.09%	6,293	3.74%				

District 5 Subtotal

Total:	660,769	436,586	66.07%	183,858	27.82%	5,069	0.77%	7,477	1.13%	876	0.13%	25,057	3.79%				
Voting Age:	498,594	340,722	68.34%	132,016	26.48%	3,605	0.72%	5,203	1.04%	389	0.08%	15,507	3.11%				

District 6
 County : Allendale SC

Total:	10,419	2,410	23.13%	7,668	73.60%	26	0.25%	42	0.40%	6	0.06%	239	2.29%				
Voting Age:	8,093	2,101	25.96%	5,764	71.22%	20	0.25%	35	0.43%	4	0.05%	152	1.88%				
County : Bamberg SC																	
Total:	15,987	5,691	35.60%	9,836	61.52%	74	0.46%	76	0.48%	8	0.05%	258	1.61%				
Voting Age:	12,414	4,564	36.76%	7,528	60.64%	55	0.44%	58	0.47%	4	0.03%	167	1.35%				

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	Total Population	NH	Whi	NH	DOJ	Blk	NH	DOJ	Ind	NH	DOJ	Asi	NH	DOJ	Oth	Hispanic	Origin
District 6 (continued)																	
County : Berkeley SC Subtotal																	
Total:	29,698	15,666	52.75%	13,138	44.24%	292	0.98%	83	0.28%	25	0.08%	417	1.40%	244	0.82%	1.08%	
Voting Age:	22,694	12,324	54.31%	9,784	43.11%	213	0.94%	60	0.26%	14	0.06%	317	1.40%	244	0.82%	1.08%	
County : Calhoun SC																	
Total:	15,175	8,059	53.11%	6,472	42.65%	78	0.51%	44	0.29%	8	0.05%	458	3.02%	317	2.09%	2.67%	
Voting Age:	11,880	6,506	54.76%	4,937	41.56%	61	0.51%	21	0.18%	5	0.04%	317	2.67%	244	0.82%	1.08%	
County : Charleston SC Subtotal																	
Total:	108,389	38,485	35.51%	56,948	52.54%	494	0.46%	1,460	1.35%	157	0.14%	10,307	9.51%	7,186	6.63%	6.54%	
Voting Age:	84,114	33,877	40.28%	40,954	48.69%	416	0.49%	1,230	1.46%	88	0.10%	8,544	10.03%	5,855	6.96%	8.15%	
County : Clarendon SC																	
Total:	34,971	16,160	46.21%	17,480	49.98%	120	0.34%	230	0.66%	12	0.03%	899	2.57%	585	1.67%	1.65%	
Voting Age:	27,162	13,278	48.88%	12,982	47.79%	98	0.36%	164	0.60%	9	0.03%	697	2.57%	585	2.15%	2.15%	
County : Colleton SC Subtotal																	
Total:	38,265	21,144	55.26%	15,289	39.96%	433	1.13%	162	0.42%	27	0.07%	1,092	2.85%	697	1.82%	1.82%	
Voting Age:	28,806	16,671	57.87%	10,928	37.94%	306	1.06%	112	0.39%	12	0.04%	899	3.12%	697	2.42%	2.42%	
County : Dorchester SC Subtotal																	
Total:	28,612	12,825	44.82%	13,483	47.12%	453	1.58%	333	1.16%	31	0.11%	1,330	4.65%	849	2.93%	2.93%	
Voting Age:	21,443	10,137	47.27%	9,762	45.53%	349	1.63%	246	1.15%	15	0.07%	1,330	6.19%	849	3.96%	3.96%	

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>NH W/BI</u>	<u>NH DOJ BIK</u>	<u>NH DOJ Ind</u>	<u>NH DOJ Asn</u>	<u>NH DOJ Oth</u>	<u>Hispanic Original</u>
District 6 (continued)							
County : Florence SC Subtotal							
Total:	15,040	5,775	8,737	54	62	12	360
Voting Age:	11,045	38.40%	58.09%	0.36%	0.41%	0.08%	2.39%
		4,652	6,058	42	42	2	224
		42.12%	54.85%	0.38%	0.38%	0.02%	2.03%
County : Hampton SC							
Total:	21,090	8,699	11,344	81	120	20	744
Voting Age:	15,999	41.25%	53.79%	0.38%	0.57%	0.09%	3.53%
		6,885	8,305	69	84	14	584
		43.03%	51.91%	0.43%	0.53%	0.09%	3.65%
County : Jasper SC							
Total:	24,777	9,263	11,401	88	182	27	3,752
Voting Age:	18,636	37.39%	46.01%	0.36%	0.73%	0.11%	15.14%
		7,521	8,441	68	133	11	2,414
		40.36%	45.29%	0.36%	0.71%	0.06%	12.95%
County : Orangeburg SC Subtotal							
Total:	72,063	20,130	48,974	429	755	54	1,437
Voting Age:	55,736	27.93%	67.96%	0.60%	1.05%	0.07%	1.99%
		16,744	36,900	324	572	25	967
		30.04%	66.20%	0.58%	1.03%	0.04%	1.73%
County : Richland SC Subtotal							
Total:	189,287	56,752	119,592	699	3,394	242	7,376
Voting Age:	149,700	29.98%	63.18%	0.37%	1.79%	0.13%	3.90%
		51,231	88,869	591	2,894	138	5,156
		34.22%	59.36%	0.39%	1.93%	0.09%	3.44%
County : Sumter SC Subtotal							
Total:	22,570	4,427	17,213	71	73	22	666
Voting Age:	16,676	19.61%	76.26%	0.31%	0.32%	0.10%	2.95%
		3,584	12,442	59	52	8	466
		21.49%	74.61%	0.35%	0.31%	0.05%	2.79%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

District 6 (contingued)
 County : Williamsburg SC

	Total Population	NH Wht	NH DOJ BIK	NH DOJ Ind	NH DOJ Asn	NH DOJ Oth	Hispanic Original
Total:	34,423	10,725	22,610	146	141	34	689
		31.16%	65.68%	0.42%	0.41%	0.10%	2.00%
Voting Age:	26,301	8,795	16,637	115	102	14	581
		33.44%	63.26%	0.44%	0.39%	0.05%	2.21%

District 6 Subtotal

Total:	660,766	236,211	380,185	3,538	7,157	685	30,024
		35.75%	57.54%	0.54%	1.08%	0.10%	4.54%
Voting Age:	510,699	198,870	280,291	2,786	5,805	363	20,589
		38.94%	54.88%	0.55%	1.14%	0.07%	4.03%

District 7
 County : Chesterfield SC

Total:	46,734	28,790	15,500	365	192	55	1,666
		61.60%	33.17%	0.78%	0.41%	0.12%	3.56%
Voting Age:	35,177	22,564	11,027	271	130	18	1,072
		64.14%	31.35%	0.77%	0.37%	0.05%	3.05%

County : Darlington SC

Total:	68,681	37,993	28,755	333	249	49	1,140
		55.32%	41.87%	0.48%	0.36%	0.07%	1.66%
Voting Age:	52,023	30,356	20,367	252	168	29	736
		58.35%	39.15%	0.48%	0.32%	0.06%	1.41%

County : Dillon SC

Total:	32,062	15,152	14,919	892	91	37	833
		47.26%	46.53%	2.78%	0.28%	0.12%	2.60%
Voting Age:	23,488	11,936	10,269	650	60	17	481
		50.82%	43.72%	2.77%	0.26%	0.07%	2.05%

Plan Name: House Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	Total Population	NH	Whit	NH	DOJ	Blk	NH	DOJ	Ind	NH	DOJ	Asn	NH	DOJ	Oth	Hispanic	Original
--	------------------	----	------	----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----------	----------

County : Florence SC Subtotal

Total:	121,845	68,330	48,027	610	1,725	131	2,670
Voting Age:	92,140	56.08%	39.42%	0.50%	1.42%	0.11%	2.19%
		53,882	34,662	476	1,177	46	1,666
		58.48%	37.62%	0.52%	1.28%	0.05%	1.81%

County : Georgetown SC

Total:	60,158	37,311	20,278	224	305	39	1,867
Voting Age:	47,138	62.02%	33.71%	0.37%	0.51%	0.06%	3.10%
		30,835	14,620	186	210	19	1,188
		65.41%	31.02%	0.39%	0.45%	0.04%	2.52%

County : Horry SC

Total:	269,291	208,096	37,449	2,064	3,503	519	16,683
Voting Age:	215,049	77.28%	13.91%	0.77%	1.30%	0.19%	6.20%
		172,728	26,001	1,565	2,561	338	11,259
		80.32%	12.09%	0.73%	1.19%	0.16%	5.24%

County : Marion SC

Total:	33,062	13,230	18,490	205	191	20	791
Voting Age:	24,991	40.02%	55.93%	0.62%	0.58%	0.06%	2.39%
		10,826	13,310	149	142	3	489
		43.32%	53.26%	0.60%	0.57%	0.01%	1.96%

County : Marlboro SC

Total:	28,933	11,657	14,768	1,457	79	31	800
Voting Age:	22,610	40.29%	51.04%	5.04%	0.27%	0.11%	2.77%
		9,479	11,231	1,052	66	18	679
		41.92%	49.67%	4.65%	0.29%	0.08%	3.00%

Plan Name: House_Cong_H3992
 Plan Type: Congressional

Administrator: SC Senate Judiciary
 User:

	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>NH_Wht</u>	<u>NH_Doj_Bik</u>	<u>NH_Doj_Ind</u>	<u>NH_Doj_Asn</u>	<u>NH_Doj_Oth</u>	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>
District 7 Subtotal							
Total:	660,766	420,559 63.65%	198,186 29.99%	6,150 0.93%	6,335 0.96%	881 0.13%	26,450 4.00%
Voting Age:	512,616	342,606 66.83%	141,487 27.60%	4,601 0.90%	4,514 0.88%	488 0.10%	17,570 3.43%